

Weak compactness in the classes of strengthened σ -order continuous linear functionals of Riesz spaces

by Takeshi Ohno

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Liberal Arts, Shizuoka University,
836 Ohya, Shizuoka 422, Japan*

Communicated by Prof. A.C. Zaanen at the meeting of September 24, 1990

ABSTRACT

Let L be an Archimedean Riesz space and let $\mathcal{A}(L)$ be the set of all strengthened σ -order continuous linear functionals defined on L . Veksler gave some characterizations of these functionals in [11]. The purpose of this paper is to characterize the weakly compact subsets of $\mathcal{A}(L)$ in terms of the order structure of L and also generalize the result (Theorem 2.5) given by Dashiell in [4].

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper we assume that every Riesz space considered is Archimedean. For notation and basic terminology concerning Riesz spaces not explained below, see [1] and [12].

- (1) The following result which will be useful later was given by Quinn [9].

THEOREM A. Let L be a Riesz space. Then there exists an essentially unique smallest σ -Dedekind complete Riesz space L^σ in which L can be embedded as an order dense Riesz subspace and which has the property that the ideal generated by L in L^σ is L^σ itself. (See [9] for related results.)

L^σ is called *σ -Dedekind completion* of L .

- (2) Let L be a Riesz space. L^\sim denotes the order dual of L . L_c^\sim and L_n^\sim denote the band of σ -order continuous and order continuous linear functionals in L^\sim respectively. A positive functional ϕ in L^\sim is called *strengthened σ -order continuous* if for any sequence $\theta \leq x_n \downarrow$ in L ,

$$\inf \{ \phi(x_n) \} = \sup \{ \phi(y) \mid y \in \mathcal{L}(\{x_n\}) \},$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\{x_n\})$ denotes $\{y \in L \mid \theta \leq y \leq x_n \text{ for all } n\}$. A $\phi \in L^-$ is called *strengthened σ -order continuous* if ϕ^+ and ϕ^- are both strengthened σ -order continuous. We denote by $\mathcal{A}(L)$ the set of all strengthened σ -order continuous functionals in L^- . Note that $\mathcal{A}(L)$ is a band of L^- and $L_n^- \subset \mathcal{A}(L) \subset L_c^-$ (Assertion 1.2 of [11]). If L is σ -Dedekind complete, then it follows immediately that $\mathcal{A}(L) = L_c^-$.

Let L^σ be the σ -Dedekind completion of L . Put $G = \{u \in L^\sigma \mid u = \sup \{x_n\}, x_n \in L\}$ and $H = \{v \in L^\sigma \mid -v \in G\}$. Let $\theta \leq \phi \in \mathcal{A}(L)$. For each $u \in G$ define $\bar{\phi}$ by $\bar{\phi}(u) = \sup \{ \phi(x_n) \}$, where $u = \sup \{x_n\}, x_n \in L$, and for each $v \in H$ define $\bar{\phi}$ (denoted by $\bar{\phi}$ again) by $\bar{\phi}(v) = -\bar{\phi}(-v)$. Let M denote the set of all elements w of L^σ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $u \in G$ and $v \in H$ satisfying $v \leq w \leq u$ and $\bar{\phi}(u) - \bar{\phi}(v) < \varepsilon$. For each $w \in M$ it is clear that $\sup \{ \phi(v) \mid v \leq w, v \in H \} = \inf \{ \phi(u) \mid w \leq u, u \in G \}$. We now define $\bar{\phi}$ on M by $\bar{\phi}(w) = \sup \{ \phi(v) \mid v \leq w, v \in H \}$ for $w \in M$. It then follows that $\bar{\phi}$ is a unique positive linear extension of ϕ on M and M is a σ -Dedekind complete Riesz subspace of L^σ . (Use the arguments given in 12A-12G of [8].) Furthermore, by Theorem A $M = L^\sigma$ holds. Thus we have the following result given by Veksler in [11].

THEOREM B. Let L be a Riesz space and L^σ its σ -Dedekind completion. Then every $\theta \leq \phi \in \mathcal{A}(L)$ has a unique positive linear extension $\bar{\phi}$ on L^σ and $\bar{\phi} \in (L^\sigma)_c^-$. (See [11] for related results.)

For each $\phi \in \mathcal{A}(L)$ put $\phi = \phi^+ - \phi^-$ and define $\bar{\phi}$ on L^σ by $\bar{\phi} = \bar{\phi}^+ - \bar{\phi}^-$. For every $\theta \leq \phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{A}(L)$ it is simple to verify that $\overline{\phi_1 + \phi_2} = \bar{\phi}_1 + \bar{\phi}_2$ on L^σ . Hence the extension mapping: $\phi \rightarrow \bar{\phi}$ is well-defined and positive. The next corollary is the supplement of Theorem 2.1 given in [11].

COROLLARY 1. (1) Each $\phi \in \mathcal{A}(L)$ has a unique σ -order continuous extension $\bar{\phi}$ on L^σ and the extension mapping: $\phi \rightarrow \bar{\phi}$ is a Riesz isomorphism of $\mathcal{A}(L)$ into $(L^\sigma)_c^-$.

(2) If $\phi \in (L^\sigma)^-$ and $\phi \upharpoonright L \in \mathcal{A}(L)$, where $\phi \upharpoonright L$ denotes the restriction of ϕ on L , then $\phi \in (L^\sigma)_c^-$ holds.

The proof is left to the reader.

2. COMPACTNESS IN $\mathcal{A}(L)$

In [1] Aliprantis and Burkinshaw summarized the characterization of weakly compact subsets of L^- , L_c^- and L_n^- in terms of the order structure on L . Similarly, in this section we will give some information about weak compactness in $\mathcal{A}(L)$.

Let L be a Riesz space and A a $\sigma(L^-, L)$ -bounded subset of L^- . For each $x \in L$ define ϱ_A by $\varrho_A(x) = \sup \{ |\phi(x)| \mid \phi \in A \}$. ϱ_A is a seminorm of L , and if A is a solid of L^- , then ϱ_A is a Riesz seminorm. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ of L is called

ϱ_A -Cauchy if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists n_0 such that $\varrho_A(x_n - x_m) < \varepsilon$ for all $n, m \geq n_0$. A subset A of L^\sim is called *order-equicontinuous* on L if $\{x_n\} \subset L$ is a ϱ_A -Cauchy sequence whenever $\theta \leq x_n \uparrow \leq x$ holds in L .

For a subset A of $\mathcal{A}(L)$ we denote by \bar{A} the set $\{\bar{\phi} \in (L^\sigma)^\sim \mid \phi \in A\}$. By Corollary 1 it is clear that A is $|\sigma|(\mathcal{A}(L), L)$ -bounded if and only if \bar{A} is $|\sigma|((L^\sigma)^\sim, L^\sigma)$ -bounded.

THEOREM 2. Let L be a Riesz space and L^σ its σ -Dedekind completion. Then for a subset A of $\mathcal{A}(L)$ the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) The solid hull S of A is $|\sigma|(\mathcal{A}(L), L)$ -relatively compact.
- (2) $\lim \varrho_{\bar{A}}(u_n) = 0$ whenever $u_n \downarrow \theta$ in L^σ .
- (3) \bar{A} is order-equicontinuous on L^σ .
- (4) A is order-equicontinuous on L .

PROOF. (1) \rightarrow (2) Note first that S is $|\sigma|(\mathcal{A}(L), L)$ -bounded and hence \bar{S} is also $|\sigma|((L^\sigma)^\sim, L^\sigma)$ -bounded. Now, assume that (2) does not hold. Then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $u_n \downarrow \theta$ in L^σ such that $\varrho_{\bar{A}}(u_n) > 2\varepsilon$ for all n . For each n choose $\phi_n \in A$ with $|\bar{\phi}_n(u_n)| > 2\varepsilon$ and let $\theta \leq \Phi \in (L^\sigma)^\sim$ be a $\sigma((L^\sigma)^\sim, L^\sigma)$ -accumulation point of $\{|\bar{\phi}_n|\}$. Then $\Phi \upharpoonright L$ is a $\sigma(L^\sim, L)$ -accumulation point of $\{|\phi_n|\}$. Since $\{|\phi_n|\} \subset S$, it is clear that $\Phi \upharpoonright L \in \mathcal{A}(L)$. Hence it follows by Corollary 1 that $\Phi \in (L^\sigma)^\sim$. For each n choose $m \geq n$ such that $||\bar{\phi}_m| - \Phi|(u_n)| < \varepsilon$. Then it follows that

$$\Phi(u_n) = |\bar{\phi}_m|(u_n) - ||\bar{\phi}_m| - \Phi|(u_n)| \geq |\bar{\phi}_m|(u_n) - \varepsilon \geq |\bar{\phi}_m|(u_m) - \varepsilon > \varepsilon.$$

But this is a contradiction, and hence (1) implies (2).

(2) \rightarrow (3) see Theorem 20.7 of [1] and (3) \rightarrow (4) is obvious.

(4) \rightarrow (1) Since $\mathcal{A}(L)$ is the topological dual of $(L, |\sigma|(L, \mathcal{A}(L)))$, by Theorem 20.5 of [1] S is order-equicontinuous in L and hence $|\sigma|(\mathcal{A}(L), L)$ -bounded. Furthermore, it follows by Theorem 20.1 of [1] that S is $\sigma(L^\sim, L)$ -relatively compact. Now, we have to show that the $\sigma(L^\sim, L)$ -closure of S lies in $\mathcal{A}(L)$. To this end let ψ be in $\sigma(L^\sim, L)$ -closure of S . For $\theta \leq x_n \downarrow$ in L replace $\mathcal{L}(\{x_n\})$ by a net $\{y_\alpha\}$ with $\theta \leq y_\alpha \uparrow \leq x_n$ for all n and put $z_{n,\alpha} = x_n - y_\alpha$ for each (n, α) . Since S is order-equicontinuous, $z_{n,\alpha} \downarrow \theta$ in L implies that $\{z_{n,\alpha}\}$ is ϱ_S -Cauchy net by Theorem 10.1 of [1]. Hence for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists (n_0, α_0) such that $\varrho_S(z_{n,\alpha} - z_{m,\beta}) < \varepsilon$ for $(n, \alpha), (m, \beta) \geq (n_0, \alpha_0)$. For fixed $(n, \alpha) \geq (n_0, \alpha_0)$ choose $\phi \in S$ such that $|\phi - \psi|(z_{n,\alpha}) < \varepsilon$. Then for any $(m, \beta) \geq (n, \alpha)$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(z_{n,\alpha})| &\leq |(\phi - \psi)(z_{n,\alpha})| + |\phi(z_{n,\alpha})| < \varepsilon + |\phi(z_{n,\alpha}) - \phi(z_{m,\beta})| \\ &\quad + |\phi(z_{m,\beta})| < 2\varepsilon + |\phi(z_{m,\beta})|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi(z_{n,\alpha}) \xrightarrow{(n,\alpha)} 0$, we have $|\psi(z_{n,\alpha})| \leq 2\varepsilon$ for all $(n, \alpha) \geq (n_0, \alpha_0)$. Hence it follows that $\psi \in \mathcal{A}(L)$. Thus S is $|\sigma|(\mathcal{A}(L), L)$ -relatively compact and the proof is complete.

The following result shows that $\sigma(\mathcal{A}(L), L)$ -relative compactness and the concepts of order-equicontinuity in $\mathcal{A}(L)$ coincide for uniformly complete Riesz spaces.

THEOREM 3. Let L be a uniformly complete Riesz space and L^σ its σ -Dedekind completion. Then for a subset A of $\mathcal{A}(L)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) A is $\sigma(\mathcal{A}(L), L)$ -relatively compact.
- (2) \bar{A} is $\sigma((L^\sigma)^\sim, L^\sigma)$ -relatively compact.
- (3) \bar{A} is order-equicontinuous on L^σ .
- (4) A is order-equicontinuous on L .

PROOF. (1) \rightarrow (2) Since $\mathcal{A}(L)$ is the topological dual of $(L, |\sigma|(L, \mathcal{A}(L)))$, by Theorem 19.15 of [1] A is $|\sigma|(\mathcal{A}(L), L)$ -bounded and hence \bar{A} is also $|\sigma|((L^\sigma)^\sim, L^\sigma)$ -bounded. Thus it follows by Theorem 20.1 of [1] that \bar{A} is $\sigma((L^\sigma)^\sim, L^\sigma)$ -relatively compact. To complete the proof we shall show that the $\sigma((L^\sigma)^\sim, L^\sigma)$ -closure of \bar{A} lies in $(L^\sigma)^\sim$. To this end let Φ be in $\sigma((L^\sigma)^\sim, L^\sigma)$ -closure of \bar{A} . If Φ is a $\sigma((L^\sigma)^\sim, L^\sigma)$ -accumulation point of \bar{A} , then it is simple to verify that $\Phi|L$ is also a $\sigma(\mathcal{A}(L), L)$ -accumulation point of A . Hence $\Phi|L \in \mathcal{A}(L)$ holds and it follows by Corollary 1 that $\Phi \in (L^\sigma)^\sim$. This means that the $\sigma((L^\sigma)^\sim, L^\sigma)$ -closure of \bar{A} lies in $(L^\sigma)^\sim$.

(2) \rightarrow (3) see Theorem 20.9 of [1].

(3) \rightarrow (4) is obvious and (4) \rightarrow (1) follows from Theorem 2.

The mapping $x \rightarrow \tilde{x}$ of L into $(L^\sim)^\sim_n$ defined by $\tilde{x}(\phi) = \phi(x)$ for $\phi \in L^\sim$ is a Riesz homomorphism. Let I denote the ideal generated by the image of L into $(L^\sim)^\sim_n$. By once more applying the definition above to the pair L^\sim and I we have that the mapping of L^\sim into I_n^\sim is a Riesz isomorphism, and by the argument given in Theorem 20.13 of [1] it follows that the mapping $\phi \rightarrow \tilde{\phi}$ is a Riesz isomorphism of L^\sim onto I_n^\sim , where $\tilde{\phi}(f) = f(\phi)$ for $f \in I$. We now have the following result.

THEOREM 4. Let L be a uniformly complete Riesz space and let I be the ideal generated by the image of L in $(L^\sim)^\sim_n$. Then for a subset A of $\mathcal{A}(L)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) A is order-equicontinuous on L .
- (2) A is $\sigma(\mathcal{A}(L), I)$ -relatively compact.
- (3) A is $\sigma(\mathcal{A}(L), L)$ -relatively compact.

PROOF. (1) \rightarrow (2) Let $\theta \leq f \in I$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose an $\theta \leq x \in L$ with $f \leq \tilde{x}$. Since $\mathcal{A}(L)$ is the topological dual of $(L, |\sigma|(L, \mathcal{A}(L)))$, by Theorem 20.6 of [1] there exists $\theta \leq \psi \in \mathcal{A}(L)$ such that $(|\phi| - \psi)^+(x) < \varepsilon$ for all $\phi \in A$. Hence $(|\phi| - \psi)^+(f) \leq \varepsilon$ holds for all $\phi \in A$, and by Theorem 20.6 of [1] again A is order-equicontinuous on I . Consequently it follows from Theorem 20.11 of [1] that A is $\sigma(I_n^\sim, I)$ -relatively compact. Since $I_n^\sim = \mathcal{A}(L) \oplus \mathcal{A}(L)^d (= L^\sim)$, it is

simple to verify that the $\sigma(I_n^-, I)$ -closure of A in I_n^- lies in $\mathcal{A}(L)$. Hence A is $\sigma(\mathcal{A}(L), I)$ -relatively compact.

(2) \rightarrow (3) is obvious and (3) \rightarrow (1) follows from Theorem 3.

3. ORDER-CAUCHY COMPLETE RIESZ SPACES AND I^∞ SPACES

Let L be an order-Cauchy complete Riesz space satisfying $L_c^- = \mathcal{A}(L)$. In this section we will relate $\sigma(L^-, L)$ -convergent sequence of L^- with the concept of order-equicontinuity and also generalize the main result given by Dashiell in [4].

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in Riesz space L is called *order-Cauchy* if there exists a sequence $\{e_n\}$ of L^+ with $e_n \downarrow \theta$ such that $|x_m - x_n| < e_n$ for all $m > n$. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in L *order-converges* to x in L if there exists a sequence $\{e_n\}$ of L^+ with $e_n \downarrow \theta$ and $|x_n - x| \leq e_n$ for all n . A Riesz space L is called *order-Cauchy complete* if every order-Cauchy sequence in L order-converges in L to some element of L .

DEFINITION 5. A Riesz space L is called to have the *strengthened σ -order (s. σ -order) continuity property* if $L_c^- = \mathcal{A}(L)$.

We start with the following lemma which will be useful later.

LEMMA 6. Let L be a Riesz space and $\theta < \phi \in \mathcal{A}(L)$. Then for every $x \in L$ with $x \geq \theta$ and $\phi(x) = 0$, there exists $\theta \leq y \in L$ such that $x \wedge y = \theta$ and $\phi(y) > 0$.

PROOF. Let $\theta \leq \phi \in \mathcal{A}(L)$. Assume that $\phi(x) = 0$ for an $x \geq \theta$ in L . Choose $\theta \leq z \in L$ with $\phi(z) > 0$ and put $z_n = z - z \wedge nx$ for each n . Since $\theta \leq z_n \downarrow$ in L and $\phi(z_n) = \phi(z)$ for all n , for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $y \in \mathcal{L}(\{z_n\})$ such that $\phi(z) - \varepsilon < \phi(y)$. Choose a $y \in \mathcal{L}(\{z_n\})$ with $\phi(y) > 0$. Clearly, $x \wedge y \leq z_n \leq z - x \wedge y$ holds for all n . Hence it follows that $2(x \wedge y) \leq z$. Similarly, we have $n(x \wedge y) \leq z$ for all n . Thus $x \wedge y = \theta$ holds in L and $\phi(y) > 0$.

We now give one of the main results of this section.

THEOREM 7. Let L be an order-Cauchy complete Riesz space with the s. σ -order continuity property. Then every $\sigma(L^-, L)$ -Cauchy sequence in L^- is order-equicontinuous on L .

PROOF. *Part 1.* Assume that $\{\phi_n\}$ is $\sigma(L^-, L)$ -Cauchy sequence, but not order-equicontinuous on L . Since L is uniformly complete, by Theorem 19.15 of [1] $\{\phi_n\}$ is $|\sigma|(L^-, L)$ -bounded. Using Theorem 20.6 of [1] there exist $\varepsilon > 0$, an order bounded disjoint sequence $\{e_n\} \subset [\theta, e]$ and a subsequence $\{\phi_{k_n}\}$ of $\{\phi_n\}$ such that $|\phi_{k_n}(e_n)| > 2\varepsilon$ for each n . For simplicity of notation, let the original sequences have this property: $|\phi_n(e_n)| > 2\varepsilon$. Denote by I_n the principal ideal generated by e_n . Then for each n there exists $\theta \leq \psi_n \in L^-$ such that $\psi_n(x) = |\phi_n|(x)$ for $x \in I_n$ and $\psi_n(x) = 0$ for $x \in I_n^d$, where $\psi_n(x) = \sup |\phi_n|(x \wedge me_n)$ for $\theta \leq x \in L$. (See Theorem 83.8 of [12]) Clearly, $\{\psi_n\}$ is $|\sigma|(L^-, L)$ -bounded.

Since $\theta \leq x_n = \sum_{k=1}^n e_k \uparrow \leq e$ in L , it is immediate that $\psi_n(e) \geq \psi_n(x_n) = \psi_n(e_n) > 2\varepsilon$.

Let ϕ_0 be a $\sigma(L^-, L)$ -accumulation point of $\{\psi_n\}$. For each n and any $\delta > 0$ there exists some $m > n$ such that $|(\phi_0 - \psi_m)(x_n)| < \delta$. Hence $\phi_0(x_n) = 0$ holds for all n , but $\phi_0(e) > \varepsilon$. We now assume that $\phi_0 \in L_c^-$, and put $u = \sup \{x_n\}$ in L^σ . Since $L_c^- = \mathcal{A}(L)$, it is immediate that $\bar{\phi}_0(u) = \lim \phi_0(x_n) = 0$. By Lemma 6 choose a $v \in L^\sigma$ with $u \wedge v = \theta$ and $\bar{\phi}_0(v) > 0$. Then there exists some $x \in L$ such that $\theta \leq x \leq v$ in L^σ and $\bar{\phi}_0(x) = \phi_0(x) > 0$. Since $x_n \wedge x = \theta$ in L holds for each n , it is clear that $\psi_n(x) = 0$ for all n . From this we have $\phi_0(x) = 0$, which contradicts $\phi_0(x) > 0$. Thus $\phi_0 \neq 0$ is not σ -order continuous.

Part 2. Consequently, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in L^+ such that $y_n \downarrow \theta$ in L , but for some $\varepsilon' > 0$, $\phi_0(y_n) > 4\varepsilon'$ for all n . Since ϕ_0 is $\sigma(L^-, L)$ -accumulation point of $\{\psi_n\}$, we can choose a subsequence $\{\psi_{n_k}\}$ of $\{\psi_n\}$ satisfying $\psi_{n_k}(y_k) > 3\varepsilon'$ for each k . Then for each k there exists m with $|\phi_{n_k}|(y_k \wedge me_{n_k}) > 2\varepsilon'$. Furthermore, by the relation

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_{n_k}|(y_k \wedge me_{n_k}) &= \sup \{ |\phi_{n_k}(z)| \mid |z| \leq y_k \wedge me_{n_k} \} \leq \\ &\leq 2 \cdot \sup \{ |\phi_{n_k}(z)| \mid \theta \leq z \leq y_k \vee me_{n_k} \} \end{aligned}$$

choose $z_k \in I_{n_k}$ such that $\theta \leq z_k \leq y_k \wedge me_{n_k}$ and $|\phi_{n_k}(z_k)| > \varepsilon'$. Then $\{z_k\}$ is a disjoint sequence of L , and $\theta \leq \sum_{k=n}^m z_k \leq y_n$ for all $m > n$. Hence $z = \sup \{z_n\}$ exists in L .

Part 3. Now, we define a mapping $\pi: l^\infty \rightarrow L$ by $\pi(a) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n z_n$ for $a = \{a_n\} \in l^\infty$. The mapping π is well-defined and a positive linear mapping such that $\pi(1_n) = z_n$, where 1_n is the element of l^∞ with the n th coordinate 1 and the remaining coordinates 0. Then the mapping $\pi^-: L^- \rightarrow (l^\infty)^-$ is continuous with respect to the topology $\sigma(L^-, L)$ and $\sigma((l^\infty)^-, l^\infty)$. Since $\{\phi_{n_k}\}$ is $\sigma(L^-, L)$ -Cauchy sequence, $\{\pi^-(\phi_{n_k})\}$ is also $\sigma((l^\infty)^-, l^\infty)$ -Cauchy and hence converges to an element ξ of $(l^\infty)^-$. By Theorem 14.18 of [3] note that $(l^\infty)^- = l^1 \oplus c_0^\perp$. Let P be the projection of $(l^\infty)^-$ onto l^1 . Using Theorem 13.14 and Theorem 13.1 of [3] we have $\|P\pi^-(\phi_{n_k}) - P\xi\|_{l^1} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. For $\varepsilon' > 0$ choose n_0 such that for all $k > n_0$, $|P\xi(1_k)| < \varepsilon'/2$ and $|P\pi^-(\phi_{n_k})(1_k) - P\xi(1_k)| < \varepsilon'/2$. Since $P\pi^-(\phi_{n_k})(1_k) = \pi^-(\phi_{n_k})(1_k) = \phi_{n_k}(z_k)$ for each k , it then follows that $|\phi_{n_k}(z_k)| < \varepsilon'/2 + |P\xi(1_k)| < \varepsilon'$ for all $k \geq n_0$, contradicting the choice of the subsequence $\{\phi_{n_k}\}$ in Part 2. This contradiction completes the proof.

Let L be a Riesz space and J an ideal of L^- . The mapping $x \rightarrow \tilde{x}$ of L into J_n^- defined by $\tilde{x}(\phi) = \phi(x)$ for $\phi \in J$ is a Riesz homomorphism. Denote by I_J the ideal generated by the image of L in J_n^- . As an application of Theorem 7 we have the following results.

COROLLARY 8. Let L be an order-Cauchy complete Riesz space with the s. σ -order continuity property and let J be an ideal of L^- . Then we have

- (1) If $\phi_n \rightarrow \theta$ in J for $\sigma(J, L)$, then $\phi_n \rightarrow \theta$ $\sigma(J, I_J)$.
- (2) Let P be the projection of J onto a band B of J . If $\phi_n \rightarrow \theta$ in J for $\sigma(J, L)$, then $P\phi_n \rightarrow \theta$ $\sigma(J, L)$.

PROOF. (1) If $\{\phi_n\} \subset J$ is $\sigma(J, L)$ -convergent to zero, then by Theorem 7 $\{\phi_n\}$ is order-equicontinuous on L . Hence the proof is completed by an argument similar to that of Theorem 20.21 given in [1].

(2) Note first that J is a Dedekind complete Riesz space in its own right. Let $\{\phi_n\} \subset J$ and assume that $\phi_n \rightarrow \theta$ for $\sigma(J, L)$. Since $J = B \oplus B^d$ and $(J, |\sigma|(J, I_J))' = I_J$, it follows by Theorem 19.5 of [1] that $I_J = B^\circ \oplus (B^d)^\circ$. Hence using (1) the proof follows the argument given in Theorem 20.22 of [1].

The above Theorem 7 extends a result (Theorem 20.20) of Burkinshaw given in [1]. Also, (1) and (2) of the above corollary contain the results (Theorem 20.21 and Corollary 20.22) of Schaefer given in [1], respectively. Furthermore, we have the following.

THEOREM 9. Let L be an order-Cauchy complete Riesz space with the s. σ -order continuity property. Then every band of L^- is $\sigma(L^-, L)$ -sequentially complete.

PROOF. Let B be a band of L^- and $\{\phi_n\}$ a $\sigma(L^-, L)$ -Cauchy sequence of B . By Theorem 7 $\{\phi_n\}$ is order-equicontinuous on L . Let I be the ideal generated by the image of L into $(L^-)_n^-$. Then it follows by Theorem 20.16 of [1] that $\{\phi_n\}$ is $\sigma(L^-, I)$ -relatively compact. Let ϕ_0 be a $\sigma(L^-, I)$ -accumulation point of $\{\phi_n\}$. It is easy to see that $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi_0$ for $\sigma(L^-, L)$. Now, note that $L^- = B \oplus B^d$ and $I = B^\circ \oplus (B^d)^\circ$. Let P be the projection of L^- onto B^d . Since $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi_0$ for $\sigma(L^-, L)$, it then follows by Corollary 8 that $P\phi_n \rightarrow P\phi_0$ for $\sigma(L^-, L)$, but $P\phi_n = \theta$ for all n . Hence $\phi_0 \in B$ holds. Thus B is $\sigma(L^-, L)$ -sequentially complete, and the proof is complete.

We now show that order-equicontinuity is closely related to sequential compactness.

THEOREM 10. Let L be an order-Cauchy complete Riesz space with the s. σ -order continuity property. Then for a subset A of L^- the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) A is order-equicontinuous on L .
- (2) For each $\theta \leq e \in L$ and sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ of A there exist $\phi \in L^-$ and a subsequence $\{\phi_{n_k}\}$ of $\{\phi_n\}$ satisfying $\lim \phi_{n_k}(x) = \phi(x)$ for all $x \in [\theta, e]$.

PROOF. (1) \rightarrow (2) This follows from the same arguments used in the proof of Theorem 20.24 of [1].

(2) \rightarrow (1) Note first that A is $\sigma(L^-, L)$ -bounded. Since L is uniformly complete, by Theorem 19.15 of [1] A is also $|\sigma|(L^-, L)$ -bounded. Now, assume that A is not order-equicontinuous on L . Then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$, an order bounded disjoint sequence $\{e_n\} \subset [\theta, e]$ and a sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ of A such that $|\phi_n(e_n)| > \varepsilon$. Hence by Part 1 and 2 in the proof of Theorem 7 there exist $\varepsilon' > 0$, a disjoint sequence $\{z_k\}$ of L^+ and a subsequence $\{\phi_{n_k}\} \subset \{\phi_n\}$ such

that $|\phi_{n_k}(z_k)| > \varepsilon'$ for all k and $z = \sup \{z_k\}$ exists in L . From this we have a Riesz isomorphism(into) $\pi: l^\infty \rightarrow L$ defined by $\pi(a) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k z_k$ for $a = \{a_n\} \in l^\infty$. By hypothesis let $\{\phi_{m_j}\}$ be a subsequence of $\{\phi_{n_k}\}$ converging to an element ϕ in L^- on the interval $[\theta, z]$ of L . Clearly, $\pi^-(\phi_{m_j})$ converges to $\pi^-(\phi)$ in $\sigma((l^\infty)^-, l^\infty)$. But it then follows by Part 3 in the proof of Theorem 7 that for large j ,

$$|\pi^-(\phi_{m_j})(1_j) - P\pi^-(\phi)(1_j)| < \varepsilon'/2 \text{ and } |P\pi^-(\phi)(1_j)| < \varepsilon'/2,$$

where P is the projection of $(l^\infty)^-$ onto l^1 . This means that $|\phi_{m_j}(z_j)| < \varepsilon'$ for large j , and a contradiction. Thus A is order-equicontinuous on L and the proof is complete.

Let L be a Riesz space and X a Banach space. A linear mapping $T: L \rightarrow X$ is called *o-bounded* if $\sup \{\|Tx\| \mid |x| \leq e\} < \infty$ holds for any $\theta \leq e \in L$. A linear mapping $T: L \rightarrow X$ is *o-weakly compact* if $\{Tx \mid |x| \leq e\} \subset X$ is $\sigma(X, X^*)$ -relatively compact for any $\theta \leq e \in L$, where X^* denotes the norm dual of X . Let $T: L \rightarrow X$ be *o-bounded* and $f \in X^*$. Then the linear form T^-f defined by $(T^-f)(x) = f(Tx)$ for all $x \in L$ is order bounded and the map $T^-: X^* \rightarrow L^-$ is linear.

In [5] Dodds gave a number of necessary and sufficient conditions for an *o-bounded* map $T: L \rightarrow X$ to be *o-weakly compact*. Finally, using Theorem 4.2 of [5] we will generalize the main result (Theorem 2.5) given by Dashiell in [4].

THEOREM 11. Let L be an order-Cauchy complete Riesz space with the *s.o.*-order continuity property and X a Banach space. If $T: L \rightarrow X$ is *o-bounded* but not *o-weakly compact*, then there exists a subspace X_0 of X such that l^∞ is Riesz isomorphic to $T^{-1}(X_0) \subset L$ and isometric to X_0 .

PROOF. Assume that $T: L \rightarrow X$ is *o-bounded* but not *o-weakly compact*. Then by Theorem 4.2 of [5] $\{T^-f \mid f \in X_1^*\}$ is not $\sigma(L^-, I)$ -relatively compact in L^- , where X_1^* denotes the unit ball of X^* and I is the ideal generated by the image of L into $(L^-)_n$. By Theorem 20.16 and 20.6 of [1] there exist $\varepsilon > 0$, an order bounded disjoint sequence $\{e_n\} \subset [\theta, e]$ and a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of X_1^* such that $|(T^-f_n)(e_n)| > 2\varepsilon$ for each n . Hence from the arguments given in Part 1 and 2 of Theorem 7 there exist $\varepsilon' > 0$, a disjoint sequence $\{z_n\}$ of L^+ and a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\} \subset \{f_n\}$ such that $|T^-f_{n_k}(z_k)| > \varepsilon'/2$ for all k and $z = \sup \{z_k\}$ exists in L . Furthermore, by Part 3 of Theorem 7 there exists a Riesz isomorphism π from l^∞ into L such that $\pi(a) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n z_n$ for $a = \{a_n\} \in l^\infty$. It then follows that for any $f \in X_1^*$ and $a = \{a_n\} \in l^\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(T\pi(a))| &\leq |T^-f|(|\pi(a)|) \\ &= |T^-f|(\sum |a_n| z_n) \leq \|a\|_\infty \sup \{|T^-f|(z) \mid f \in X_1^*\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T\pi: l^\infty \rightarrow X$ is a continuous linear mapping. Also, since

$$\|T\pi(1_k)\| = \|Tz_k\| \geq |(T^-f_{n_k})(z_k)| > \varepsilon'/2 \text{ for all } k,$$

the map $T\pi$ satisfies the condition of Rosenthal's theorem (Remark 1 of Proposition 1.2 in [10]). From this there exists some infinite subset \mathcal{N}' of \mathcal{N} such that $T\pi: l^\infty(\mathcal{N}') \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism(into). Finally, put $X_0 = T\pi(l^\infty(\mathcal{N}'))$. Then it follows immediately that X_0 is isometric to $l^\infty(\mathcal{N}')$ and $T^{-1}(X_0)$ is Riesz isomorphic to $l^\infty(\mathcal{N}')$. The proof of the theorem is now complete.

REFERENCES

1. Aliprantis, C.D. and O. Burkinshaw – Locally solid Riesz spaces, New York, Academic Press, 1978.
2. Burkinshaw, O. – Weak compactness in the order dual of a vector lattice, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **187**, 183–201 (1974).
3. Aliprantis, C.D. and O. Burkinshaw – Positive operators, Academic Press, Ins., 1985.
4. Dashiell, F.K. – Nonweakly compact operators from order-Cauchy complete $C(S)$ lattices, with application to Baire classes, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **266**, 397–413 (1981).
5. Dodds, P.G. – o -weakly compact mappings of Riesz spaces, Amer. Math. Soc. **214**, 389–402 (1975).
6. Dodds, P.G. – Sequential convergence in the order duals of certain class of Riesz spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **203**, 391–403 (1975).
7. Luxemburg, W.A.J. and A.C. Zaanen – Riesz spaces I, North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam-London, 1971.
8. Loomis, L.H. – An introduction to abstract harmonic analysis, Van Nostrand, 1953.
9. Quinn, J. – Intermediate Riesz spaces, Pacific J. Math. **56**, 225–263 (1975).
10. Rosenthal, H.P. – On relatively disjoint families of measures, with some applications to Banach space theory, Studia Math. **37**, 13–36 (1970).
11. Veksler, A.I. – On one class of ordered sequentially continuous functionals and regular Borel measures, Siberian Math. J. **17**, 572–580 (1976).
12. Zaanen, A.C. – Riesz spaces II, North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1982.